# A new method for quantifying flake scar organisation on cores using orientation statistics

Sam Lin<sup>1,2</sup>, Chris Clarkson<sup>3,2</sup>, I Made Agus Julianto<sup>4</sup>, Anton Ferdianto<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Jatmiko<sup>5</sup>, Thomas Sutikna<sup>5,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Wollongong; <sup>2</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Australian Biodiversity and Heritage; <sup>3</sup>University of Queensland; <sup>4</sup>Udayana University; <sup>5</sup>Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional (BRIN)

### Introduction

Core scar patterning is key to understand past flaking technologies.

- Typically described by **qualitative units**. e.g., unidirectional, bifacial, radial, etc.
- Issues of subjectivity and replicability (Pargeter et al. 2023).



#### Clarkson et al. (2006) developed the Scar Pattern Index (SPI).

- Quantify scar 'parallelness' among similar core technologies (Bretzke & Conard 2012; Lombao et al. 2022).
- But distinct core types can produce similar SPI values. Difficult to compare different core technologies.

## **Orientation analysis**

Commonly used to summarise the orientation of archaeological remains during excavation.



Can we apply orientation analysis to measure core scar orientation, with each core treated as an 'assemblage' of scars?

## Liang Bua

#### Type locality of Homo floresiensis on Flores, Indonesia

- Stone artefacts made by *Homo floresiensis* (190–50 ka) & *Homo sapiens* (46 ka–present) (Sutikna et al. 2016)
- Continuity of simple core reduction techniques (Moore et al. 2009).



- H. sapiens: lower isotropy values
- Scars more parallel.
- Flaking along similar axes.

*H. floresiensis*: higher and more variable isotropy values

- Scars more 'jumbled'.
- Flaking along variable angles and axes.
  Corresponds to more core rotations (Lin et al. 2024).

Measuring core scar orientation

#### Extract 3D scar vectors relative to best-fit plane

 Define scar vectors using start & end points of observable scars ≥0.5 cm (Geomagic Wrap).



- Fit a best-fit plane to core volume (Geomagic Wrap).
- Rotate such that the best-fit plane aligns with the X-Y plane and the longest scar vector aligns to the X-axis (Rhino 7).

#### Compute scar orientation statistics in R

• **Isotropy:** scar inclination relative to best-fit plane (plunge).

Elongation: scar arrangement along



• Summarise in a ternary diagram.

best-fit plane (bearing).

# **Experimental results**

- Uni/bidirectional: high elongation, low isotropy
- **Discoid/Levallois/biface:** low elongation, variable isotropy
- **Polyhedral:** low elongation, high isotropy
- **Multiplatform:** variable as the type is not defined by specific scar patterns.





## **Summary & Conclusion**

#### Orientation analysis useful for quantifying core scar arrangement.

• Detect new patterns about reduction, esp. among informal cores.

#### Liang Bua

• Hominin difference in core reduction pattern, with *H. floresiensis* detaching flakes from more variable angles via frequent rotations.

Aldeias et al. (2014). Journal of Human Evolution, 69, 8-30. Bretzke & Conard. (2012). Journal of Archaeological Science, 39(12), 3741-3749.

Clarkson et al. (2006). Journal of Archaeological Science, 33(1), 132-142.
 Lin et al. (2024). Journal of Archaeological Science, 167, 105998.

Lombao et al. (2022). Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, 1-38.
 Moore et al. (2009). Journal of Human Evolution, 57(5), 503-526.